Sleep Disturbances and Depression in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis
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Study Objectives: We examined the association of objectively and subjectively measured sleep disturbances with depression, and explored if race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and sex modified these associations.

Methods: We used data from the cross-sectional Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis Sleep Study. Participants included 1,784 adults (ages 54–93 y), 36.8% non-Hispanic Whites, 28.0% African Americans, 23.7% Hispanics, 11.5% Chinese, and 46.0% males. Sleep was assessed with actigraphy, polysomnography, and self-report. Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale. We used relative risk regression to evaluate the association of sleep measures and depression (CES-D score ≥ 16) adjusting for site, sociodemographics, and behavioral and medical risk factors.

Results: Overall, 14.5% had depression, 29.3% had insomnia symptoms, 14.1% had excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS), 15.1% had apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) ≥ 30, and 30.4% experienced short sleep (< 6 h). Depression was associated with short sleep duration (adjusted prevalence ratio [PR] = 1.47, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.11, 1.94), < 10% rapid eye movement [REM] sleep (PR = 1.57, 95% CI = 1.08, 2.27), ≥ 25% REM sleep (PR = 1.42, 95% CI = 1.03, 1.95), insomnia (PR = 1.83, 95% CI = 1.39, 2.40), and AHI > 15 + EDS (PR = 1.55, 95% CI = 1.01, 2.39). Short sleep duration was associated with depression among those with high school education or beyond, but not among those with less education. Insomnia was more strongly associated with depression among men than women.

Conclusions: Sleep disturbances are associated with depression among middle-aged and older adults; these associations may be modified by education and sex. Future research should further test these hypotheses, evaluate whether early detection or treatment of sleep disturbances ameliorate depression, and explore subpopulation differences.
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Significance
Our aims were to examine whether or not sleep disturbances were associated with depression, and to explore if sociodemographic characteristics modified these associations in a middle-aged and older adult multiethnic community sample. Objectively- and subjectively-measured sleep disturbances—short sleep duration (< 6 h), insomnia, excessive daytime sleepiness, low and high proportion of rapid eye movement sleep—were consistently associated with a higher prevalence of depression. Exploratory analyses suggest that educational attainment and sex may modify the association of specific sleep disturbances (i.e., short sleep duration, insomnia) with depression. Future research should further test these hypotheses, and evaluate whether early detection or treatment of sleep disturbances improves depression outcomes.

INTRODUCTION
Sleep disturbances—short sleep duration, insomnia, poor sleep quality, alterations in sleep architecture and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)—often precede, co-occur with, or in some cases, result from the onset of depression.1–8 For example, more than 90% of patients with depression report some type of sleep disturbance (e.g., insomnia symptoms, daytime sleepiness) and those with OSA have a nearly double odds of depression compared to those without OSA.9 Further, adults with depression often exhibit alterations in their sleep architecture such as an alteration in regulation of rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and poor sleep efficiency.6,10 Although separate literature has documented differences in the prevalence of sleep disturbances and depression by race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status (SES), and sex,11–13 to our knowledge, no studies to date have explored whether the association between sleep disturbances and depression substantially (or importantly) differs by these sociodemographic characteristics. Thus, important gaps remain in the literature regarding the association of specific sleep dimensions with depression and potential sociodemographic modifiers; knowledge into these factors could in turn inform the development of targeted preventive efforts to improve sleep and mental health.

Sociodemographic factors have been inconsistently linked to sleep disturbances because of study-specific factors such as how sleep was assessed (subjective versus objective measurement), and whether race/ethnicity and SES were simultaneously included in statistical models.14 For example, most studies using objective measures of sleep such as polysomnography (PSG) or actigraphy find that African Americans, individuals from low SES backgrounds, and men are more likely than their non-Hispanic White, high SES, and women counterparts to exhibit short sleep duration, or disrupted sleep continuity and sleep architecture.11,15–18 Relatedly, racial/ethnic minorities are more likely than Whites to have persistent, severe, and under-diagnosed sleep disorders such as OSA.18–20 Research using subjective measures of sleep such as self-report questionnaires documents similar trends; women and African Americans...
are more likely to endorse insomnia and poor overall sleep quality. However, at least one study shows no difference between men and women or between racial/ethnic groups in self-reports of sleep quality and insomnia.

The literature on sociodemographic differences in depression is far more consistent. Women and individuals with low SES are more likely than their counterparts to meet criteria for depression and/or endorse elevated depressive symptoms. Indeed, women have a 40% increased risk of past-month depression than men, and those with income levels below the poverty line have doubled risks of depression. In contrast, most studies indicate that, on average, racial/ethnic minorities and non-Hispanic Whites do not have different prevalence rates for depression, but the chronicity or severity of depression is markedly higher among racial/ethnic minorities, and the likelihood of receiving adequate care for their depression is quite low, and remains low even after accounting for SES.

Racial/ethnic and socioeconomic disparities in health are often the consequence of differential access to resources that promote good health. With regard to sleep, racial/ethnic minorities and those with low SES are more likely than their counterparts to have fewer psychosocial, economic, and environmental resources that promote adequate sleep or the prompt resolution of sleep problems, as evidenced by less access to effective sleep treatment, high rates of nonadherence to sleep treatment regimens, and greater exposure to contextual disadvantage, discrimination, and stress. However, investigations into sociodemographic modifiers of sleep have been few, and limited by small sample sizes (especially the numbers of racial/ethnic minorities), exclusive use of subjective measures of sleep, and constricted socioeconomic ranges.

To address these prior limitations, we examined the association of objectively and subjectively measured sleep disturbances (i.e., short sleep duration, poor sleep continuity, alterations in sleep architecture, symptoms of insomnia and excessive daytime sleepiness [EDS], OSA, sleep apnea syndrome) with depression among community-dwelling adults enrolled in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) Sleep Ancillary Study. We also investigated in exploratory analyses whether race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status (education and income), and sex were significant effect modifiers. We hypothesized that each of the sleep disturbances would be associated with a higher prevalence ratio (PR) of depression after controlling for potential confounders. We also expected that: (1) the association of objectively measured short sleep duration with depression would be stronger for African Americans when compared to their non-Hispanic White counterparts; and (2) the association of subjectively measured sleep quality (e.g., insomnia, EDS) with depression would be stronger among individuals from low socioeconomic backgrounds and women relative to individuals from high socioeconomic backgrounds and men.

METHODS

Data Source and Sample

MESA is a multisite prospective cohort study of 6,814 men and women from racially and ethnically diverse backgrounds recruited from six community sites in the United States: Baltimore City and Baltimore County, MD; Chicago, IL; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; Northern Manhattan and the Bronx, NY; and St. Paul, MN. MESA was specifically designed to study the distribution of subclinical cardiovascular disease (CVD) across racial/ethnic groups, and factors associated with progression of the subclinical disease. Thus, as part of eligibility criteria for MESA, participants could have no evidence of clinical CVD at enrollment. We used cross-sectional data from the MESA Sleep Ancillary Study, which collected quantitative measures of sleep and sleep disordered breathing to evaluate how sleep measures and sleep disorders are associated with cardiovascular risk. At MESA Exam 5 (2010–2013), 10 y after the initial examination, all MESA participants other than those reporting regular use of oral devices, nocturnal oxygen, or nightly positive airway pressure devices were invited to participate in the MESA Sleep Ancillary Study, composed of 1 night of in-home PSG, 7-d actigraphy, and sleep questionnaire data collected during an in-home examination visit. Of 4,077 participants approached, 147 (6.5%) were ineligible (95 due to a history of the positive airway pressure machine use [2%]; 4 due to use of an oral appliance; and 4 due to oxygen use) and 141 participants resided too far from the study sites to participate. Of those remaining (n = 3,789), 59.7% participated in the sleep examination (n = 2,261). A minimum of 3 d of actigraphy data with > 50% reliable data were required for a “passed” actigraphic study. Studies with fewer than 4 weekdays and 1 weekend day of acceptable data were repeated when possible. Of the passed actigraphic studies (97%), there was an average of 6.9 (0.54) d of acceptable data, 5.0 (0.42) d of weekday data, and 2.0 (0.27) d of weekend data. Of the PSG studies, 4.9% failed minimal study quality, and 80% were judged to be in the very good to outstanding quality. Overall, 2,060 had successful PSG data, 2,156 had actigraphy data, and 2,240 participants had completed sleep questionnaires. Our final sample included 1,784 participants with complete sleep, depression, and covariate data; we excluded participants with any missing covariate data (< 4% of those with complete sleep and depression data). Institutional Review Board approval was obtained at each study site and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Measures

Sleep Duration and Sleep Continuity

Actigraphy was used to measure mean sleep duration and sleep continuity. Actigraphy-measured sleep duration is a more representative index of habitual sleep duration than PSG-measured sleep duration and we had an a priori interest in understanding the association of habitual or typical sleep duration and prevalence of depression. Actigraphy was performed using the Actiwatch Spectrum wrist actigraph (Philips Respironics, Murrysville, PA, USA) worn on participants’ nondominant wrist for 7 consecutive days. Actigraphic data were scored during 30-sec epochs as sleep or wake by Actiware-Sleep version 5.59 analysis software (Mini Mitter Co, Inc, Bend, OR, USA). A validated algorithm was used in which activity counts recorded during the measured epoch...
were modified by the level of activity in the surrounding 2-min time period (e.g., \( \pm 2 \) min) to yield the final activity count for each epoch.\(^{36}\) Self-reported sleep diary information and data on light from the wrist actigraphy were used to annotate the records, and determine a lights-off period and sleep onset latency. Sleep duration and sleep quality (sleep maintenance efficiency) were estimated for each day. Short sleep duration was defined \( \text{a priori} \) as mean sleep duration of \(< 6 \) h. Long sleep duration was defined as a mean sleep duration of \( > 9 \) h. The reference category for sleep duration \( (6–9 \) h) was based on prior literature.\(^{37}\) Poor sleep continuity was defined as having a mean sleep maintenance efficiency (percentage of sleep time after sleep onset/sleep period) \(< 85\% .\)\(^{38}\) We also calculated the mean sleep onset latency (min).

**Sleep Architecture, OSA, and Sleep Apnea Syndrome**

PSG was used to measure sleep architecture and OSA. PSG was conducted using a 15-channel monitor (Compumedics Somte System; Compumedics Ltd., Abbotsville, AU). The recording montage included electroencephalography (EEG), bipolar electrooculograms, chin electromyography (EMG), bipolar electrocardiography (ECG), thoracic and abdominal respiratory inductance plethysmography, airflow measured by thermocouple and nasal pressure cannula, finger pulse oximetry, and bilateral limb movements. PSG provided quantitative assessments of levels of overnight hypoxemia, apneas and hypopneas, and sleep stage distributions. Sleep stages and EEG (cortical) arousals were scored according to published guidelines.\(^{39,40}\) Based on the literature implicating REM sleep dysregulation with depression, our primary measure of sleep architecture was the proportion of REM sleep, defined as a percentage of the sleep period. We also calculated REM latency, defined as the delay in minutes between sleep onset and first epoch of REM sleep (excluding wake period). Apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) was calculated based on the average number of all apneas plus hypopneas associated with a 4% desaturation per hour of sleep. Severe OSA was defined as an AHI \( \geq 30 \) events/h; we also examined the association of AHI \( > 15 \), a level considered to denote moderate OSA, with depression.

**Sleep Quality**

Self-administered questionnaires were used to measure sleep quality. Insomnia was measured using the Women’s Health Initiative Insomnia Rating Scale (WHIIRS).\(^ {41}\) The WHIIRS is a five-item questionnaire that asks respondents to rate how frequently they experience difficulty with sleep initiation and maintenance (i.e., sleep latency, sleep maintenance, early morning awakening, sleep quality) over the past 4 w. Each question is scored on a five-point scale \((0 \text{ to } 4)\), where 0 corresponds to “no, not in the past 4 weeks” and 4 corresponds to “yes, 5 or more times a week.” Scores range from 0 to 20, and a total score was calculated. WHIIRS scores \( \geq 10 \) were considered clinically significant insomnia symptoms, because this cutoff is associated with high specificity and sensitivity for differentiating those with and without diagnostic insomnia.\(^ 41\) Sleepiness was measured using the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS).\(^ {42}\) The ESS is an eight-item questionnaire that asks respondents to rate his or her likelihood of falling asleep in eight different contexts, and each question is rated on a four-point scale \((0–3)\); scores range from 0–24, and a total score was calculated. EDS was defined as an ESS \( > 10 \) based on prior research indicating that this cutoff differentiates between healthy controls and those with recognizable sleep disorders.\(^ {42}\) Sleep apnea syndrome was defined as AHI \( > 15 \) plus ESS \( > 10 \). An AHI of 15 was used rather than a lower threshold given the older age of the sample and very high prevalence of mildly elevated AHI levels.

**Depression**

Depressive symptoms at Exam 5 were measured with the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D), which is a 20-item self-report questionnaire that asks respondents to rate how often in the past-week they have experienced depressive symptoms on a four-point scale \((0–3).\)\(^ {43,45}\) The CES-D has excellent psychometric properties; scores 16 and higher indicate moderate to severe depressive symptoms and probable depression at the population level.\(^ {43,45}\) Because MESA is a community-based epidemiological sample, we used a CES-D score of 16 or higher to indicate probable depression in these analyses.

**Sociodemographics**

Sex and race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic White, African American, Hispanic, Chinese) were self-reported. Education (high school or less, some college or technical school, graduate or professional school), and total gross family income \((< $25,000, $25,000–$49,999, $50,000–$74,999, > $74,999)\) were self-reported as indicators of socioeconomic status.

**Covariates**

Age at Exam 5 was calculated from Exam 5 date and birthdate. Body mass index \((\text{kg/m}^2)\) was calculated from measured weight and height. Hypertension at Exam 5 was based on the criteria of the Sixth Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC VI), which defined hypertension as systolic blood pressure \( \geq 140 \) mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure \( \geq 90 \) mm Hg, or both self-reported diagnosis of hypertension and use of any antihypertensive medication.\(^ {46}\) Diabetes was defined as fasting plasma glucose \( \geq 126 \) mg/dL or self-reported use of a diabetes medication. Current smoker status \((\text{yes/no})\) and frequency of alcohol consumption at Exam 5 were self-reported. Medication use was assessed in-home using a standard medication inventory approach.\(^ {47}\) Cardiovascular disease was defined as myocardial infarction, resuscitated cardiac arrest, and stroke and was adjudicated from medical records by study physicians.\(^ {48}\)

**Statistical Analysis**

We computed means or proportions of descriptive characteristics for the overall sample and by depression status. For the primary analyses, we used relative risk regression (a generalized linear model (GLM) with log link, Gaussian error structure, and robust standard error estimates)\(^ {39}\) to compute the PR of depression associated with each sleep measure. Prior to fitting models, we used generalized additive models (GAM) to test potential
nonlinearity of the association of sleep measures with depression. We found no evidence of appreciable non-linearity, except for sleep duration and \% time in REM, which were categorized for analyses. Sleep duration was categorized as \(< 6\) h, \(6–9\) h, and \(> 9\) h based on previous literature\(^{37}\) and \% time in REM was categorized as \(< 10\), \(10–24.9\), and \(> 24.9\) based on visual inspection of the GAM plot. All other sleep measures were analyzed as continuous terms. We fit a series of sequential models. Model 1 adjusted for MESA field site and age, per 10-y increase. Model 2 further adjusted for race/ethnicity and sex. Model 3 additionally adjusted for education (high school or less, some college or technical school, graduate or professional school) and income (\(< \$25,000\), \(\$25,000–\$49,999\), \(\$50,000–\$74,999\), \(> \$74,999\)). Model 4 included a final adjustment for body mass index, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and current smoker status. For the exploratory analyses, we evaluated whether the association of sleep disturbances with depression was modified by race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, or sex using interaction terms (e.g., insomnia*sex), which were entered in separate models. If significant differences were present in these exploratory analyses, stratified results are reported. Because of sparse cells in the education and income categories, we collapsed education and income into two categories for all of the interaction analyses (high school or less versus more than a high school diploma; \(< \$25,000\) versus \(\$25,000\) or more). Also given the sparse cells for the long sleep duration group, we compared only those with short sleep versus average sleep duration in interaction analyses. To determine the robustness of our findings, we conducted five sensitivity analyses. First, we calculated a modified total depression score that subtracted out the sleep question item. We then fit models with CES-D score as a continuous term, comparing sleep measure coefficients from the model with the original depression score to coefficients with the modified depression score. Second, we re-ran our models (depression as a binary outcome) excluding participants taking anti-depressant medication with somnolent effects (tricyclic antidepressants [TCAs] and trazodone). Third, we re-ran our final models using an alternative definition of the depression, CES-D score of \(\geq 16\) or use of antidepressants. Fourth, we fit models with an additional adjustment for alcohol consumption. Fifth, we re-ran our final models with an adjustment for prevalent cardiovascular disease. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata (StataCorp. 2011. Stata Statistical Software: Release 12.1. College Station, TX, USA: StataCorp LP.). The significance levels were set at \(P < 0.05\) for two-sided analyses.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 presents the distribution of characteristics of the total sample and by depression status. Of the 1,784 participants, 36.8\% were non-Hispanic White, 28.0\% were African American, 23.7\% were Hispanics, and 11.5\% were Chinese (Table 1). Prevalence of CVD (myocardial infarction, resuscitated cardiac arrest, and stroke,) in this sample was low at 3.3\%. Overall, 259 participants (14.5\%) had scores consistent with depression. Those with depression were younger, and more likely to be Hispanic than those without depression. Compared to individuals without depression, more individuals with depression had a high school education or less, a total gross family income of less than \$25,000, diabetes, and were current smokers. The distribution of sex and mean body mass index were similar in both depression status groups.

Sleep disturbances were common, but varied by depression status group. Overall, 29.3\% had insomnia (WHIIRS \(\geq 10\)), 14.1\% had EDS, 15.1\% had severe OSA (AHI \(\geq 30\)), 5.9\% had sleep apnea syndrome (AHI > 15 + EDS), 30.4\% had short sleep duration (< 6 h), and 1.7\% had long sleep duration (> 9 h). Actigraphy-assessed mean short sleep duration, long sleep duration, and subjectively measured insomnia and EDS were more common among those with depression. Although fewer adults with depression had severe OSA (AHI \(\geq 30\)) than those without depression, more adults with depression met the criteria for sleep apnea syndrome (AHI > 15 + EDS) compared to those without depression. Mean measures of sleep continuity and sleep stage distributions were similar across depression status groups. Overall summary statistics for actigraphy-assessed and PSG-assessed wake after sleep onset, sleep onset latency, time in bed, total sleep time, and sleep efficiency for the total sample and by depression status are also reported in Table S1 (supplemental material).

**Sleep Duration, Sleep Continuity, and Depression**

Those with actigraphy-assessed short sleep duration (< 6 h) (PR = 1.53, 95\% confidence interval [CI]: 1.20, 1.94) and those with long sleep duration (> 9 h) (PR = 2.45; 95\% CI: 1.25, 4.79) had increased prevalence of depression relative to those with average sleep duration (6–9 h) in site- and age-adjusted models (Table 2). The higher PRs associated with long sleep duration were attenuated after adjustment for the full set of covariates, but the estimate associated with short sleep duration remained statistically significant (PR = 1.47; 95\% CI: 1.11, 1.94) (Table 2).

With regard to measures of sleep continuity (Table 2), PSG-assessed low sleep maintenance efficiency was associated with a 47\% increase in the PRs of depression in site- and age-adjusted models (PR = 1.47; 95\% CI: 1.08, 2.01). The PRs did not change appreciably with final adjustment (PR = 1.50; 95\% CI: 1.06, 2.13). In contrast, actigraphy-assessed low sleep maintenance efficiency, actigraphy-assessed sleep onset latency (Table 2), and PSG-calculated overall arousal index (Table 2) were not associated with depression.

**Sleep Architecture and Depression**

Alterations in sleep architecture were significantly associated with depression. Those who spent less than 10\% in REM sleep had increased prevalence of depression (PR = 1.69, 95\% CI: 1.23, 2.33) when compared to those with an intermediate proportion of REM sleep duration (10–24.9\%) in site- and age-adjusted models (Table 3). The adverse association of low proportion of REM sleep with depression remained significant in models that further adjusted for demographics, education, and income (PR = 1.71, 95\% CI: 1.22, 2.41), as well as behavioral risk factors and medical conditions (PR = 1.57, 95\% CI: 1.08, 2.27). Similarly, those with a high proportion of REM sleep (25\% or more) had an increased prevalence of depression (PR = 1.42, 95\% CI: 1.03, 1.95) relative to those with average proportion of REM sleep in fully adjusted models. REM latency was not associated with depression (Table 3).
Table 1—Characteristics of participants by depression status in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis sleep ancillary study (MESA Exam 5; 2010–2013) (n = 1,784).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Overall (n = 1,784)</th>
<th>Depression Absent (n = 1,525)</th>
<th>Depression Present (n = 259)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>68.21 ± 9.09</td>
<td>68.50 ± 9.12</td>
<td>66.47 ± 8.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>821 (46.0%)</td>
<td>709 (46.5%)</td>
<td>112 (43.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>657 (36.8%)</td>
<td>572 (37.5%)</td>
<td>85 (32.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>500 (28.0%)</td>
<td>433 (28.4%)</td>
<td>67 (25.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>422 (23.7%)</td>
<td>337 (22.1%)</td>
<td>85 (32.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>205 (11.5%)</td>
<td>183 (12.0%)</td>
<td>22 (8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>531 (29.8%)</td>
<td>433 (28.4%)</td>
<td>98 (37.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least some college or technical school</td>
<td>889 (49.8%)</td>
<td>773 (50.7%)</td>
<td>116 (44.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate or professional school</td>
<td>364 (20.4%)</td>
<td>319 (20.9%)</td>
<td>45 (17.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gross family income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $25,000</td>
<td>465 (26.1%)</td>
<td>376 (24.7%)</td>
<td>89 (34.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000–$49,999</td>
<td>495 (27.7%)</td>
<td>428 (28.1%)</td>
<td>67 (25.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000–$74,999</td>
<td>317 (17.8%)</td>
<td>266 (17.4%)</td>
<td>51 (19.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; $74,999</td>
<td>507 (28.4%)</td>
<td>455 (29.8%)</td>
<td>52 (20.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral risk factors and medical conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.70 ± 5.53</td>
<td>28.59 ± 5.47</td>
<td>29.35 ± 5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>59 (3.3%)</td>
<td>47 (3.1%)</td>
<td>12 (4.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1,005 (56.3%)</td>
<td>855 (56.1%)</td>
<td>150 (57.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>349 (19.6%)</td>
<td>285 (18.7%)</td>
<td>64 (24.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current cigarette smoking</td>
<td>129 (7.2%)</td>
<td>99 (6.5%)</td>
<td>30 (11.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol drinks per week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1,199 (67.2%)</td>
<td>1,003 (65.8%)</td>
<td>196 (75.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 7</td>
<td>382 (21.4%)</td>
<td>345 (22.6%)</td>
<td>37 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 7</td>
<td>203 (11.4%)</td>
<td>177 (11.6%)</td>
<td>26 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actigraphy-measured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep maintenance efficiency &lt; 85% , %</td>
<td>115 (6.4%)</td>
<td>99 (6.5%)</td>
<td>16 (6.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep onset latency (min)</td>
<td>4.38 ± 3.20</td>
<td>4.40 ± 3.23</td>
<td>4.27 ± 3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean sleep duration, h &lt; 6 h</td>
<td>542 (30.4%)</td>
<td>437 (28.7%)</td>
<td>105 (40.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–9 h</td>
<td>1,212 (67.9%)</td>
<td>1,066 (69.9%)</td>
<td>146 (56.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 9 h</td>
<td>30 (1.7%)</td>
<td>22 (1.4%)</td>
<td>8 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysomnography-measured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep maintenance efficiency</td>
<td>79.70 ± 12.65</td>
<td>79.91 ± 12.42</td>
<td>78.42 ± 13.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Time in REM</td>
<td>18.05 ± 6.68</td>
<td>18.06 ± 6.43</td>
<td>17.95 ± 6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Time Stage 1</td>
<td>14.35 ± 9.21</td>
<td>14.33 ± 8.95</td>
<td>14.49 ± 10.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Time Stage 2</td>
<td>57.46 ± 10.28</td>
<td>57.38 ± 10.14</td>
<td>57.92 ± 11.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Time Stage 3-4</td>
<td>10.14 ± 9.00</td>
<td>10.22 ± 8.98</td>
<td>9.64 ± 9.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM latency</td>
<td>78.65 ± 51.35</td>
<td>78.54 ± 50.13</td>
<td>79.35 ± 58.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe OSA (AHI ≥ 30)</td>
<td>270 (15.1%)</td>
<td>232 (15.2%)</td>
<td>38 (14.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apnea syndrome (AHI &gt; 15 + ESS &gt; 10)</td>
<td>105 (5.9%)</td>
<td>83 (5.4%)</td>
<td>22 (8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjectively-measured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia (WHIIRS) score ≥ 10, %</td>
<td>523 (29.3%)</td>
<td>411 (27.0%)</td>
<td>112 (43.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive daytime sleepiness (ESS score &gt; 10)</td>
<td>251 (14.1%)</td>
<td>197 (12.9%)</td>
<td>54 (20.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values presented as mean ± standard deviation or n (%). Depression was defined as score of ≥ 16 on the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Cardiovascular disease includes: myocardial infarction, resuscitated cardiac arrest, and stroke. AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; REM, rapid eye movement; WHIIRS, Women’s Health Initiative Insomnia Rating Scale.
Insomnia, Sleepiness, OSA, Sleep Apnea Syndrome, and Depression

Insomnia (WHIIRS ≥ 10) was adversely associated with depression, such that those with insomnia had higher prevalence of depression relative to those without insomnia (PR = 1.82; 95% CI: 1.44, 2.29) in site- and age-adjusted models (Table 4). The PRs associated with insomnia did not change appreciably with further adjustment for sex, education, income, behavioral risk factors, and medical conditions (PR = 1.83; 95% CI: 1.39, 2.40).

EDS was also adversely associated with depression. Those with EDS had a 62% increased prevalence of depression (PR = 1.62; 95% CI: 1.23, 2.13) relative to those without EDS. The magnitude of the PR for EDS did not change appreciably with additional covariates, and remained significantly associated with depression in the fully adjusted model (PR = 1.61; 95% CI: 1.19, 2.18) (Table 4). Importantly, severe OSA (AHI ≥ 30) was not associated with depression in any of the regression models (fully adjusted model PR = 0.88; 95% CI: 0.60, 1.30); AHI > 15 was also not associated with depression in any of the regression models (fully adjusted model PR = 0.92; 95% CI: 0.70, 1.22) (Table 4). However, sleep apnea syndrome (AHI > 15 + EDS) was associated with depression in age- and site-adjusted models (PR = 1.61, 95% CI: 1.05, 2.46) and remained significant with additional adjustment for sociodemographics and in the fully-adjusted model (PR = 1.55, 95% CI: 1.01, 2.39).

Exploratory Analyses of Sociodemographic Effect Modifiers

The exploratory analyses indicate that educational attainment modified the association of mean sleep duration and depression (P for interaction = 0.05). Among those with more than a high school education, short sleep duration relative to average sleep duration was associated with a higher prevalence of depression (PR = 1.61; 95% CI: 1.09, 2.36). Short-sleep duration was not associated with depression among those with less than a high school education (PR = 0.98; 95% CI: 0.70, 1.39). Sex modified the association of insomnia on depression (P for interaction = 0.06). Sex-stratified models indicated that among men, insomnia was associated with a markedly high PR for...
Depression was defined as score of ≥ 16 on the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Severe obstructive sleep apnea was defined as AHI ≥ 30 events/hour. Sleep apnea syndrome defined as AHI > 15 plus ESS > 10. Sleep variables were tested in individual models. Model 1 adjusted for field site and age. Model 2 adjusted for all covariates in Model 1 and race/ethnicity, sex. Model 3 adjusted for all covariates in Model 1 and Model 2 and education, income. Model 4 adjusted for all covariates in Models 1–3 and body mass index, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and current smoker status. AHI > 15; 95% CI: 0.99, 1.99), although the PR was in the expected direction. None of the other sleep disturbances modified the association of short sleep duration with depression. The association of PSG-assessed low sleep maintenance efficiency was associated with increased relative risk of depression. Although severe OSA (AHI ≥ 30) was not associated with depression, the association of sleep apnea syndrome (AHI > 15 + EDS) was associated with depression, likely reflecting the influence of sleepiness in this cohort. In exploratory analyses, sex marginally modified the association of insomnia with depression, and educational attainment modified the association of sleep duration with depression; race/ethnicity did not modify the association of sleep disturbances with depression.

**Sensitivity Analyses**

Estimates for sleep measures from the sensitivity analyses using the modified CES-D score were modestly attenuated compared to the model using the original CES-D score, but remained statistically significant (Table S2, supplemental material). Second, when we excluded from the analysis those taking antidepressant medications with somnolent effects (tricyclic antidepressants [TCAs] and trazodone) the PRs for depression associated with each sleep disturbance did not change appreciably in this restricted sample (Table S3, supplemental material). Third, when we used an alternative definition of depression (CES ≥ 16 or antidepressant medication use), the PRs for depression associated with each sleep disturbance also did not change appreciably using this alternative definition, although the association of sleep efficiency (PSG) with depression was attenuated (Table S4, supplemental material). Further adjustment for alcohol consumption did not change our results appreciably, although the association of sleep architecture with depression was attenuated. Lastly, final adjustment for prevalent CVD at Exam 5 did not change our results.

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, our results show that sleep disturbances—alterations in sleep duration, continuity, quality, and architecture—were more common among those with depression than those without depression in a multiethnic community-based cohort of middle-aged and older adults. Of the objective measures, actigraphy-assessed short sleep duration and PSG-assessed low and high proportion of nightly REM sleep, were most consistently and strongly associated with depression. Of the subjective measures, insomnia and EDS were associated most strongly with depression. Of the measures of sleep continuity, only PSG-assessed low sleep maintenance efficiency was associated with increased relative risk of depression. Although severe OSA (AHI ≥ 30) was not associated with depression, the association of sleep apnea syndrome (AHI > 15 + EDS) was associated with depression, likely reflecting the influence of sleepiness in this context. Short sleep duration may independently confer excess risks for depression and greater education might be a marker for stresses associated with occupational stress that in turn would magnify the effect of short sleep on depression. Indeed, prior research shows that increasingly professional roles are a consistent predictor of short sleep duration.53 Future research should advance our understanding of the contexts under which insufficient sleep occurs and its association to depression. Public health interventions that focus on the screening for short sleep duration...
or that target sleep extension, particularly among those with greater years of education, may be particularly important for identifying those who may be at greatest risk of depression or potentially for lowering depression prevalence among this segment of the population.

Although most prior research has found evidence of an increased proportion of REM sleep among people with depression, we found that both a low proportion (less than 10%) and a high proportion of nightly REM sleep (25% or more) relative to an average proportion (10% to 24.9%) of nightly REM sleep was associated with an increased prevalence of depression among older adults. These findings converge with prior literature showing an adverse association between extreme values of nightly REM sleep and mortality, and short proportion of REM sleep and depression among older men. Importantly, REM latency, was not associated with depression in this sample, although prior research documents shorter REM latency among those with depression. There are a number of psychobiological mechanisms potentially linking short and prolonged REM sleep with depression. Dysregulated cholinergic and noradrenergic/serotonergic physiological systems are often observed among those with affective disorders, and also influence REM and non-REM sleep distributions, and thus abnormalities in REM sleep may reflect cholinergic hypersensitivity that underlies both depression and increased REM sleep. A causal relationship between REM sleep and mood is supported by the REM-reducing effects of antidepressant medications as well as the role of REM sleep in modulating emotional regulation. Notably, the association of proportion REM sleep and depression remained even in sensitivity analyses excluding those taking antidepressant medications with somnolent effects. The distribution of extreme values of REM sleep proportion and its association to depression observed in this sample might reflect these varied influences, as well as reflect the complex interactions between age-related changes in sleep architecture and depression risk among older adults. Prior EEG studies of sleep parameters and depression have often included younger participants; thus, how REM disruptions are related to depression among aging older adults is largely unknown. Additionally, future research is needed to understand how age-related changes in REM sleep interplay with depression risk and cognitive decline, as REM sleep is implicated in both depression and cognitive dysfunction in older adults.

In line with our hypotheses and prior research, insomnia symptoms and EDS were both common in this sample and strongly linked to depression even after adjustment for known confounders, including body mass index. However, contrary to our initial hypothesis, our exploratory analyses suggested that the association of insomnia with depression was stronger among men compared to women. These sex-specific parameter estimates remained unchanged even in secondary analyses adjusting for OSA. Because female sex is a predisposing factor for depression, other factors such as insomnia might exert less of an influence on depression risk among women. Further, although prior research from single studies has found a consistent association of female sex with both insomnia and depression in middle-aged adults, the sample composition in most of these single studies differs markedly from the sample composition of the MESA Sleep cohort. In particular, our study participants were older and composed of roughly equal numbers of community-dwelling men and women from diverse multiethnic backgrounds, whereas data from most prior research have been drawn from younger, predominantly female, and predominantly non-Hispanic White clinical samples. As such, our findings may reflect differences in sample composition. Importantly, the recent meta-analysis on the prospective association of insomnia and depression did not explore sex-specific effect modification because of reporting norms, specifically a tendency in most studies to report summarized coefficients instead of sex-specific coefficients. Thus, this manuscript contributes toward an understanding of the gendered association of sleep parameters and mental health. These results suggest that public health campaigns that target screening and/or treatment of insomnia symptoms particularly among middle-aged and older men may be helpful in reducing depressive symptoms in this at-risk and understudied population. Future research should seek to understand further the prospective association of insomnia and depression particularly among middle-aged and older men.

Notably, we did not find a significant association between AHI elevations and depression prevalence. It is increasingly recognized that there are substantial individual differences in the association of elevations in AHI and cognitive and neurobehavioral effects. Thus, the lack of an observation between AHI cutoffs and depression may reflect the wide variability in the sequela of OSA, particularly among middle-aged and older adults. Future research should explore age-dependent and dose-response relationships between OSA severity cutoffs and depression. Further, although OSA (defined as AHI ≥ 30 or AHI > 15) was not associated with depression, sleep apnea syndrome (AHI > 15 + EDS) was adversely associated with depression; it is possible that sleepiness might be driving this association. Indeed, a growing number of cross-sectional and prospective studies indicate a strong and consistent relationship between depression and incident EDS, and vice versa. More research is needed to understand the independent and combined effect of sleepiness and OSA on depression and its temporal associations.

Exploratory analyses did not indicate that race/ethnicity modified the association of sleep disturbances with depression. Although the dataset included sizeable samples of Hispanics, Chinese, African Americans, and non-Hispanic Whites, we ultimately had limited statistical power to detect significant race/ethnicity and sleep disturbances interactions as we often had small cells. Additionally, it is possible that a fourth variable acting on the same causal pathway between sleep disturbances and depression may need to be present in order to observe race/ethnicity effect modification. For example, racial/ethnic differences in the association of sleep with depression may only appear in the context of high neighborhood disorder. Alternatively, race/ethnicity may not modify the sleep and depression association. Future prospective research with larger population-based samples adequately powered to explore two-way and three-way interactions would help clarify these unanswered questions.

Our study is not without its limitations. First, this was a cross-sectional study, and thus we were not able to assess causality
and directionality. It is possible that depression preceded the onset of sleep disturbances and vice versa; additionally, the relationship between sleep disturbances and depression may also be bidirectional. Future research efforts should use prospective cohort designs to determine whether sleep disturbances are early prodromal or prognostic indicators of depression and more confidently establish temporality. Additionally, further understanding the psychobiological mechanisms linking these different dimensions of sleep to depression, or depression to sleep, is important to explore. Second, although we used a well-validated self-report scale to assess depressive symptoms, it is possible that depression classifications might differ with use of a clinical psychiatric interview. Future research should replicate these findings using larger multiethnic samples that are adequately powered to detect significant interactions and with multimethod assessments of depression as well as related psychosocial factors known to affect sleep and depression (e.g., discrimination, occupational stress). Third, though we had specific hypotheses about the association of sleep disturbances and depression and potential sociodemographic effect modifiers, this resulted in multiple comparisons, and readers should interpret these findings with this limitation in mind. Fourth, as is common in most large epidemiological observational studies, PSG was conducted over 1 night and thus may not be reflective of typical sleep and further subject to “first-night” effects.

CONCLUSIONS

In one of the first empirical reports to leverage both the objective and subjective measurement of sleep disturbances in a large multiethnic cohort, our results suggest that actigraphy- and PSG-measured sleep disturbances are common among middle-aged and older adults with depression and associated with increased prevalence of depression. Indeed, short sleep duration, a low as well as a high proportion of REM sleep, insomnia, EDS, and sleep apnea syndrome were associated with a 47% to 83% increased prevalence of depression even after adjustment for known confounders. Public health interventions that target early detection and treatment of these sleep disturbances may lower depression prevalence, though future research is needed to understand why specific dimensions of sleep, namely, quality, timing, duration, and continuity, are related to depression and the time course involved in these relations. Sex and education may be significant modifiers of the effect of insomnia on depression, and short sleep duration on depression, respectively. Particularly, our results from the exploratory analyses suggest that among middle-aged and older individuals, men with insomnia, and those with more than a high school education and short sleep duration, may bear a disproportionate burden of depression. Future research is needed that replicates the findings from the exploratory analyses on sociodemographic effect modifiers (race/ethnicity, education, sex), and that subsequently, determines whether interventions that target early screening or detection of insomnia and short sleep duration in these specific groups would yield substantial public health benefit. Importantly, our results also call attention to the need for more research on the complex interactions of age-related changes in sleep characteristics and depression prevalence among middle-aged and older adults and how sociodemographic factors such as education and sex pattern such associations.
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